

# DSC 140A

*Probabilistic Modeling & Machine Learning*

Lecture 10 | Part 1

**High-Dimensional Feature Maps**

# Linear Prediction Rules


- ▶ We have seen how to fit linear functions:

$$H(\vec{X}) = w_0 + w_1X_1 + \dots + w_dX_d$$

- ▶ Used for both **regression** and **classification**
- ▶ **Limitation:** regression function / decision boundary is a straight line / plane / hyperplane

# Example

- ▶ The data below is not **linearly separable**
- ▶ No prediction function of the form  $H(x_1, x_2) = w_0 + w_1x_1 + w_2x_2$  will work well






## However...

- ▶ We have seen a way around this limitation: **basis functions**.
- ▶ **Idea:** design a function  $\vec{\phi}(\vec{x})$  that maps data to a new space in which it is **linearly separable**.

# Example

- ▶ Consider the mapping  $\vec{\phi}(x_1, x_2) = (x_1, x_2, |x_1 x_2|)^T$




# Procedure


1. Define feature map  $\vec{\phi}(\vec{X}) : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ 
  - ▶  $\vec{\phi}(\vec{x}) = (\phi_1(\vec{x}), \dots, \phi_k(\vec{x}))^T$
  - ▶ Number of basis functions  $k$  can be  $>$  or  $\leq$  than  $d$
2. Map each training point to  $k$ -dimensional **feature space**:  $\vec{x}^{(i)} \mapsto \vec{\phi}(\vec{x}^{(i)})$
3. Learn a linear predictor in feature space:

$$H(\vec{X}) = w_0 + w_1 \phi_1(\vec{X}) + \dots + \phi_k(\vec{X})$$

# Procedure




# Procedure





# Example

- ▶ Use mapping  $\vec{\phi}(\vec{x}) = (x_1, x_2, |x_1 x_2|)^T$
- ▶ Decision boundary in “data space” no longer a straight line.



## Exercise

Suppose  $\vec{w} = (3, -1, 2)^T$  defines a linear predictor in feature space and  $\vec{\phi} = (x_1, x_2, |x_1 x_2|)^T$  is the mapping from “data space” to “feature space”.




Let  $\vec{x} = (2, -3)^T$  be a new point that needs to be classified. What is the predicted label?

# Feature Maps

- ▶ How do we choose  $\vec{\phi}$ ?
- ▶ **Hope:** data is linearly separable in feature space
- ▶ Appears difficult to engineer  $\vec{\phi}$  to satisfy this.
  - ▶ Need to design  $\vec{\phi}$  for each new data set?
- ▶ **Goal:** design a general feature map that is likely to make any data set linearly separable

# High-Dimensional Feature Maps

- **Observe:** in our example,  $\phi$  mapped to space of larger dimension



# High-Dimensional Feature Maps

- ▶ **Intuition:** each additional feature makes the data easier to classify.
- ▶ **Intuition:** a high-dimensional feature map is likely to make the data linearly separable.
- ▶ **Idea:** design *very* high-dimensional generic feature maps.

## Example: Monomials


- ▶ Define a feature map  $\vec{\phi} : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^6$  as follows:

$$\vec{\phi}(\vec{x}) = (1, x_1, x_2, x_1x_2, x_1^2, x_2^2)^T$$

- ▶ We fit a prediction function of the form:

$$H(\vec{x}) = w_0 + w_1x_1 + w_2x_2 + w_3x_1x_2 + w_4x_1^2 + w_5x_2^2$$

# Example: Monomials



# Example: Monomials

- ▶ In general, define a feature map  $\vec{\phi}$  to contain all **monomials** of the form:

$$1, \quad x_i, \quad x_i x_j, \quad x_i^2$$

- ▶ If  $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , then  $\vec{\phi}(\vec{x}) \in \mathbb{R}^{1+2d+\binom{d}{2}}$ .
- ▶ **Example:** if  $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{50}$ , then  $\vec{\phi}(\vec{x}) \in \mathbb{R}^{1,326}$ .



# Example: Monomials

- ▶ Why stop there? Design  $\vec{\phi}$  to contain all terms of form:

$$1, \quad x_i, \quad x_i x_j, \quad x_i^2, \quad x_i x_j x_k, \quad x_i^3$$

- ▶ If  $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , then  $\vec{\phi}(\vec{x}) \in \mathbb{R}^{1+3d+\binom{d}{2}+\binom{d}{3}}$ .
- ▶ **Example:** if  $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{50}$ , then  $\vec{\phi}(\vec{x}) \in \mathbb{R}^{20,976}$ !
- ▶ And so on...

# Example: Monomials

- ▶ Monomial feature maps take low-dimensional data and map it to *very* high-dimensional space.
- ▶ It is very general: the data is likely to be linearly separable in this space.
- ▶ It solves the problem of needing to manually craft basis functions for each new data set.

# Problem

- ▶ Mapping to very high dimensions is likely to make the data linearly separable.
- ▶ But fitting a linear prediction rule in very high dimensions is **computationally costly**.

# DSC 140A

*Probabilistic Modeling & Machine Learning*

Lecture 10 | Part 2

**The Kernel Trick**

# Recap

- ▶ We can learn non-linear patterns by:
  1. Defining a high-dimensional feature map,  
 $\vec{\phi} : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$
  2. Mapping each training point to  $k$ -dimensional **feature space**:  $\vec{x}^{(i)} \mapsto \vec{\phi}(\vec{x}^{(i)})$
  3. Training a linear predictor in feature space.

# Problem

- ▶ Learning in a very high-dimensional space can be costly, or even infeasible.

# The Trick

- ▶ We can train many linear predictors *as if* we have mapped data to feature space, **without actually doing so.**

# Idea

- ▶ In many algorithms, when  $\vec{\phi}(\vec{x})$  appears, it always appears as part of a dot product:

$$\vec{\phi}(\vec{x}) \cdot \vec{\phi}(\vec{x}')$$

- ▶ To compute, we *could* map and do dot product in feature space.
- ▶ But this is **costly!**



# Kernels

- ▶ But some  $\vec{\phi}$  are special; for them, there is a function  $\kappa$  satisfying:

$$\kappa(\vec{x}, \vec{x}') = \vec{\phi}(\vec{x}) \cdot \vec{\phi}(\vec{x}')$$

- ▶ Crucially, computing  $\kappa$  does **not require mapping to feature space!**
- ▶  $\kappa$  is called a **kernel** function.

# Example: Polynomial Kernel

- ▶ Define the feature map  $\vec{\phi} : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^6$  as follows:

$$\vec{\phi}(\vec{x}) = (1, x_1^2, x_2^2, \sqrt{2} x_1, \sqrt{2} x_2, \sqrt{2} x_1 x_2, )^T$$

- ▶  $\kappa(\vec{x}, \vec{x}') = (1 + \vec{x} \cdot \vec{x}')^2$  is a **kernel** for this  $\vec{\phi}$ .
  - ▶ That is,  $\kappa(\vec{x}, \vec{x}') = \vec{\phi}(\vec{x}) \cdot \vec{\phi}(\vec{x}')$
- ▶ Called the **polynomial kernel**<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup>In general,  $\kappa(\vec{x}, \vec{x}') = (1 + \vec{x} \cdot \vec{x}')^k$  is kernel for  $k$ -order monomial mappings

## Exercise

As before, define

$$\vec{\phi}(\vec{x}) = (1, x_1^2, x_2^2, \sqrt{2} x_1, \sqrt{2} x_2, \sqrt{2} x_1 x_2)^T,$$

and let  $\kappa(\vec{x}, \vec{x}') = (1 + \vec{x} \cdot \vec{x}')^2$  be the **polynomial kernel**.

Let  $\vec{x} = (2, -3)^T$  and  $\vec{x}' = (1, 4)^T$ .

1. Compute  $\vec{\phi}(\vec{x})$  and  $\vec{\phi}(\vec{x}')$ .
2. Use that to compute  $\vec{\phi}(\vec{x}) \cdot \vec{\phi}(\vec{x}')$ .
3. Now compute  $\kappa(\vec{x}, \vec{x}')$  by evaluating  $(1 + \vec{x} \cdot \vec{x}')^2$ .
4. Are they the same?

## Main Idea

For certain feature maps  $\vec{\phi}$ , there is an **easy** way to compute  $\vec{\phi}(\vec{x}) \cdot \vec{\phi}(\vec{x}')$  without actually computing  $\vec{\phi}(\vec{x})$  and  $\vec{\phi}(\vec{x}')$ : use the **kernel** function  $\kappa(\vec{x}, \vec{x}')$ .

# The Kernel Trick

- ▶ In many algorithms, when  $\vec{\phi}(\vec{x})$  appears, it always appears as part of a dot product of the form:

$$\vec{\phi}(\vec{x}) \cdot \vec{\phi}(\vec{x}')$$

- ▶ By replacing all instances of  $\vec{\phi}(\vec{x}) \cdot \vec{\phi}(\vec{x}')$  with  $\kappa(\vec{x}, \vec{x}')$ , we **kernelize** the algorithm; avoid explicitly mapping to feature space.
- ▶ This is called the **kernel trick**.

# Kernelized Algorithms

- ▶ Only certain feature maps have efficiently-computed kernels.
- ▶ Only certain learning algorithms can be **kernelized**.
- ▶ **All** of the linear algorithms we've learned can.
  - ▶ Least squares, perceptron, SVMs, etc.

# DSC 140A

*Probabilistic Modeling & Machine Learning*

Lecture 10 | Part 3

**Kernel Ridge Regression (and Kernel SVM)**

# Kernel Ridge Regression

- ▶ Let's kernelize **ridge regression**.
- ▶ First: verify that all instances of  $\vec{\phi}(\vec{x})$  appear as part of a dot product:  $\vec{\phi}(\vec{x}) \cdot \vec{\phi}(\vec{x}')$



# Review: Ridge Regression

- ▶ Suppose  $\vec{\phi}(\vec{x})$  is a feature map. To train a ridge regressor in feature space, we'd solve

$$\arg \min_{\vec{w}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \vec{\phi}(\vec{x}^{(i)}) \cdot \vec{w} - y_i \right)^2 + \lambda \|\vec{w}\|^2$$

- ▶ In matrix-vector form, where  $\Phi$  is the design matrix, solve:

$$\arg \min_{\vec{w}} \frac{1}{n} \|\Phi \vec{w} - \vec{y}\|^2 + \lambda \vec{w}^T \vec{w}$$

# Problem

- ▶ To perform ridge regression, solve:

$$\arg \min_{\vec{w}} \frac{1}{n} \|\Phi \vec{w} - \vec{y}\|^2 + \lambda \vec{w}^T \vec{w}$$

- ▶ To **kernelize** this, we need to replace all instances of  $\vec{\phi}(\vec{x}) \cdot \vec{\phi}(\vec{x}')$  with  $\kappa(\vec{x}, \vec{x}')$ .
- ▶ But  $\vec{\phi}(\vec{x}) \cdot \vec{\phi}(\vec{x}')$  doesn't appear here!
- ▶ **Fix:** rewrite this problem in a **dual** form.

# Fact

- ▶ The solution  $w^*$  is a linear combination of  $\vec{\phi}(\vec{x}^{(i)})$ :

$$\vec{w}^* = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \vec{\phi}(\vec{x}^{(i)})$$

- ▶ Why? The gradient of the regularized risk is:

$$\frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\vec{\phi}(\vec{x}^{(i)}) \cdot \vec{w} - y_i) \vec{\phi}(\vec{x}^{(i)}) + 2\lambda \vec{w}$$

- ▶ Setting to zero, solving for  $\vec{w}$  gives:

$$\vec{w}^* = \sum_{i=1}^n \underbrace{\left( -\frac{1}{n\lambda} \vec{\phi}(\vec{x}^{(i)}) \cdot \vec{w}^* - y_i \right)}_{\alpha_i} \vec{\phi}(\vec{x}^{(i)})$$

# Fact

- ▶ The solution  $w^*$  is a linear combination of  $\vec{\phi}(\vec{x}^{(i)})$ :

$$\vec{w}^* = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \vec{\phi}(\vec{x}^{(i)})$$

- ▶ In matrix-vector form, where  $\vec{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)^T$ :

$$\vec{w}^* = \Phi^T \vec{\alpha}$$

# Dual Problem

- ▶ Using the fact that  $\vec{w}^* = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \vec{\phi}(\vec{x}^{(i)}) = \Phi^T \vec{\alpha}$  for some  $\vec{\alpha}$ , the problem:

$$\arg \min_{\vec{w}} \frac{1}{n} \|\Phi \vec{w} - \vec{y}\|^2 + \lambda \vec{w}^T \vec{w}$$

is equivalent to the **dual problem**:

$$\arg \min_{\vec{\alpha}} \frac{1}{n} \|\Phi \Phi^T \vec{\alpha} - \vec{y}\|^2 + \lambda \vec{\alpha}^T \Phi \Phi^T \vec{\alpha}$$

## Main Idea

To do ridge regression, you can either solve:

$$\arg \min_{\vec{w}} \frac{1}{n} \|\Phi \vec{w} - \vec{y}\|^2 + \lambda \vec{w}^T \vec{w}$$

or you can solve the **dual problem**:

$$\arg \min_{\vec{\alpha}} \frac{1}{n} \|\Phi \Phi^T \vec{\alpha} - \vec{y}\|^2 + \lambda \vec{\alpha}^T \Phi \Phi^T \vec{\alpha}$$

They give the same answer! But the dual problem can be kernelized.

# Kernelizing

- ▶ Where does  $\vec{\phi}(\vec{x})$  appear in this problem?

$$\arg \min_{\vec{\alpha}} \frac{1}{n} \|\Phi \Phi^T \vec{\alpha} - \vec{y}\|^2 + \lambda \vec{\alpha}^T \Phi \Phi^T \vec{\alpha}$$

- ▶ Inside  $\Phi$ :

$$\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \vec{\phi}(\vec{x}^{(1)}) & \longrightarrow & \\ \vec{\phi}(\vec{x}^{(2)}) & \longrightarrow & \\ \vdots & & \\ \vec{\phi}(\vec{x}^{(n)}) & \longrightarrow & \end{pmatrix}$$

## Exercise

Argue that the  $(i, j)$  entry of  $\Phi\Phi^T$  is equal to  $\kappa(\vec{x}^{(i)}, \vec{x}^{(j)})$ .

$$\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \vec{\phi}(\vec{x}^{(1)}) & \longrightarrow & \\ \vec{\phi}(\vec{x}^{(2)}) & \longrightarrow & \\ \vdots & & \\ \vec{\phi}(\vec{x}^{(n)}) & \longrightarrow & \end{pmatrix}$$



# Kernelizing

- ▶ The  $(i, j)$  entry of  $\Phi\Phi^T$  is  $\vec{\phi}(\vec{x}^{(i)}) \cdot \vec{\phi}(\vec{x}^{(j)}) = \kappa(\vec{x}^{(i)}, \vec{x}^{(j)})$

$$\Phi\Phi^T = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \kappa(\vec{x}^{(1)}, \vec{x}^{(1)}) & \kappa(\vec{x}^{(1)}, \vec{x}^{(2)}) & \dots & \kappa(\vec{x}^{(1)}, \vec{x}^{(n)}) \\ \kappa(\vec{x}^{(2)}, \vec{x}^{(1)}) & \kappa(\vec{x}^{(2)}, \vec{x}^{(2)}) & \dots & \kappa(\vec{x}^{(2)}, \vec{x}^{(n)}) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \kappa(\vec{x}^{(n)}, \vec{x}^{(1)}) & \kappa(\vec{x}^{(n)}, \vec{x}^{(2)}) & \dots & \kappa(\vec{x}^{(n)}, \vec{x}^{(n)}) \end{pmatrix}}_K$$

- ▶  $K$  is called the **Kernel matrix** (or **Gram matrix**).

# Kernel Ridge Regression

- ▶ The dual problem becomes:

$$\arg \min_{\vec{\alpha}} \frac{1}{n} \|K\vec{\alpha} - \vec{y}\|^2 + \lambda \vec{\alpha}^T K \vec{\alpha}$$

- ▶ Exact solution to the dual problem:

$$\vec{\alpha}^* = (K + n\lambda I)^{-1} \vec{y}$$

- ▶ This is **kernel ridge regression**.

# Kernelization

- ▶ **Observe:** we train linear predictor in feature space without actually mapping to feature space:

$$\vec{\alpha}^* = (K + n\lambda I)^{-1} \vec{y}$$

# Making Predictions

- ▶ To predict on a new point  $\vec{x}$ , normally:  
 $H(\vec{x}) = \vec{w}^* \cdot \vec{\phi}(\vec{x})$ .
- ▶ How to do this without actually mapping?

- ▶ Recall:  $w^* = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^* \vec{\phi}(\vec{x}^{(i)})$

- ▶ So:

$$H(\vec{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^* \vec{\phi}(\vec{x}^{(i)}) \cdot \vec{\phi}(\vec{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^* \kappa(\vec{x}^{(i)}, \vec{x})$$

# Making Predictions

- ▶ To make a prediction on a new point:

$$H(\vec{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^* \kappa(\vec{x}^{(i)}, \vec{x})$$

- ▶ No need to map to feature space.
- ▶ **Interpretation:** A weighted sum of kernel evaluations.

# Procedure: Kernel Ridge Regression

1. Pick a kernel function,  $\kappa$ , and compute the kernel matrix,  $K$ .
2. Solve linear system:  $\vec{\alpha}^* = (K + n\lambda I)^{-1} \vec{y}$
3. To make new prediction,  $H(\vec{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^* \kappa(\vec{x}^{(i)}, \vec{x})$

# Kernel Soft-SVM

► Soft-SVM can also be **kernelized**.

1. Pick a kernel function,  $\kappa$ .

2. Solve dual problem (e.g., with SGD):

$$\arg \min_{\vec{\alpha}} \left( \lambda \vec{\alpha}^T K \vec{\alpha} + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \max\{0, 1 - y_i (K \vec{\alpha})_i\} \right)$$

3. To make new prediction,  $H(\vec{x}) = \sum_{i \in S} \alpha_i^* \kappa(\vec{x}^{(i)}, \vec{x})$   
► Where  $S$  is the set of indices of support vectors.

# Kernelization **Downsides**

- ▶ Often, training involves the  $n \times n$  kernel matrix.
  - ▶ Can be very large!
- ▶ There are ways to mitigate this:
  - ▶ Small-batch stochastic gradient descent.
  - ▶ Nyström method.



# DSC 140A

*Probabilistic Modeling & Machine Learning*

Lecture 10 | Part 4

**Kernel Functions**

# Valid Kernels

- ▶ The first step in kernel learning is to pick a **kernel function**,  $\kappa$ .
- ▶ To be a valid kernel, must compute the dot product w.r.t., some mapping,  $\vec{\phi}(\vec{x})$ .
- ▶ That is, it must be that

$$\kappa(\vec{x}, \vec{x}') = \vec{\phi}(\vec{x}) \cdot \vec{\phi}(\vec{x}')$$

for some  $\vec{\phi}$ .

# Constructing Kernels: Approach #1

- ▶ How do we come up with valid kernel functions?
- ▶ Approach #1:
  1. Start by picking  $\vec{\phi}$
  2. Find a function  $\kappa$  that efficiently computes  $\vec{\phi}(\vec{x}) \cdot \vec{\phi}(\vec{x}')$ , if one exists.

# Constructing Kernels: Approach #2

- ▶ New kernels can be constructed from other kernels.
- ▶ Suppose  $\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \kappa_3$  are kernels and  $f$  is any function. Then the below are kernels:
  - ▶  $\kappa(\vec{x}, \vec{x}') = \kappa_1(\vec{x}, \vec{x}') + \kappa_2(\vec{x}, \vec{x}')$
  - ▶  $\kappa(\vec{x}, \vec{x}') = \kappa_1(\vec{x}, \vec{x}') \times \kappa_2(\vec{x}, \vec{x}')$
  - ▶  $\kappa(\vec{x}, \vec{x}') = \kappa_3(\vec{\phi}(\vec{x}), \vec{\phi}(\vec{x}'))$
  - ▶  $\kappa(\vec{x}, \vec{x}') = f(\vec{x})\kappa_1(\vec{x}, \vec{x}')f(\vec{x}')$

# Verifying Kernels

## Theorem


*A symmetric function  $\kappa$  is a valid kernel if and only if the kernel matrix,  $K$ , is positive semi-definite for any choice of data,  $\vec{x}^{(1)}, \dots, \vec{x}^{(n)}$ .*

# Radial Basis Function Kernel

- ▶ Often, though, we don't design our own kernel.
- ▶ A very popular choice: the **radial basis function (RBF) kernel** (or **Gaussian kernel**):

$$\kappa(\vec{x}, \vec{x}') = e^{\frac{-\|\vec{x}-\vec{x}'\|^2}{2\sigma^2}} = e^{-\gamma\|\vec{x}-\vec{x}'\|^2} \quad \text{where } \gamma = 1/(2\sigma^2)$$

# RBF Kernel



# RBF Kernel Interpretation

$$\kappa(\vec{x}, \vec{x}') = e^{\frac{-\|\vec{x}-\vec{x}'\|^2}{2\sigma^2}} = e^{-\gamma\|\vec{x}-\vec{x}'\|^2}$$

- ▶ **Interpretation:** RBF kernel measures similarity of  $\vec{x}$  and  $\vec{x}'$ 
  - ▶ Very similar:  $\kappa(\vec{x}, \vec{x}') \approx 1$ .
  - ▶ Very different:  $\kappa(\vec{x}, \vec{x}') \approx 0$ .
- ▶ Parameter  $\sigma$  (or  $\gamma$ ) controls the scale
  - ▶ The larger  $\sigma$  (smaller  $\gamma$ ), the wider the Gaussian



# RBF Kernel Interpretation


- ▶ Recall that in kernel ridge regression / SVM, the prediction is:

$$H(\vec{X}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \kappa(\vec{X}^{(i)}, \vec{X})$$

- ▶ **Observations:**
  - ▶ One parameter  $\alpha_i$  learned for **each** training point  $\vec{X}^{(i)}$
  - ▶  $\kappa(\vec{X}^{(i)}, \vec{X})$  will be  $\approx 0$  for any  $\vec{X}^{(i)}$  far from  $\vec{X}$
  - ▶  $H(\vec{X})$  is largely determined by the training points closest to  $\vec{X}$

# RBF Kernel Interpretation

- ▶ RBF function placed at each training point.
- ▶  $H(\vec{x})$  is largely determined by training points closest to  $\vec{x}$



# RBF Kernel Interpretation

- ▶ An RBF Kernel predictor can be seen as a generalization of the  $k$ -nearest neighbor rule

# RBF Kernel Map

- ▶ What  $\phi$  is the RBF kernel a kernel for?
- ▶ The mapping  $\vec{\phi}(\vec{x})$  with entries of the form:

$$e^{-\|\vec{x}\|^2/2}x_i, \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2!}}e^{-\|\vec{x}\|^2/2}x_ix_j, \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{3!}}e^{-\|\vec{x}\|^2/2}x_ix_jx_k, \quad \dots$$

- ▶ This is a mapping to an **infinite dimensional Hilbert space!**

## Other Kernels

- ▶ There are other interesting kernels useful for specific domains.
- ▶ **Example:** string kernels for text classification.
  - ▶ Dot product in space generated by all substrings.

# DSC 140A


*Probabilistic Modeling & Machine Learning*

Lecture 10 | Part 5

**Demo: Kernel SVM**

# Demo

- ▶ Train an RBF kernel SVM on the data below.




# Aside: Hyperparameter Selection


- ▶ Two hyperparameters to specify:
  - ▶ Slack:  $C$
  - ▶ Kernel width:  $\gamma$
  
- ▶ Choose with grid search cross-validation




$\gamma = 0.02$




$\gamma = 0.05$




$\gamma = 0.18$




$\gamma = 0.63$




$\gamma = 2.16$




$\gamma = 7.41$



$\gamma = 25.40$




$\gamma = 87.09$





$\gamma = 298.63$



$\gamma = 1024.00$

