DSC 140A Probabilistic Modeling & Machine Kearning

Lecture 01 | Part 1

Welcome

machine learning ² magic

(demo)¹

 $^{1\\}Source\ code: \verb|https://gist.github.com/eldridgejm/b8cdac34bf77a4c3add9a9bf2d7362c8|$

machine learning ≟ magic

But first...

- ► The syllabus: dsc140a.com
- Labs + Homeworks + Exams + "Super Homework"
- This class has some policies you may/may not be familiar with:
 - slip days
 - lab redemption
 - one homework dropped
 - exam redemption

This Class

- ► This course focuses on machine learning **theory**.
 - ▶ 80% theory, 20% practice
- Other classes (DSC 80, DSC 148) focus on machine learning practice.

Math Background

- ► The most important prereqs for this class are:
 - DSC 40A (mathematical foundations of ML)
 - DSC 80 (ML practice + pandas)
 - MATH 20C (multivariable calculus)
 - MATH 18 (linear algebra)
 - MATH 183 (probability/statistics)

We'll review some of the math, but you might want to fill in some gaps on your own.

If you're a DSC major/minor...

- There are several ways to satisfy the DSC major's ML requirement
 - CSE 150A, CSE 151A, DSC 140A, DSC 140B
- Recommendation: take DSC 140A and DSC 140B

- Avoid "mixing and matching"
 - e.g., avoid DSC 140A + CSE 151A

If you're not a DSC major/minor...

Welcome!

- This class assumes that you've seen some machine learning before.
 - least squares regression, gradient descent, empirical risk minimization

- ▶ If not, DSC 40A might be a good place to start.
- You'll also want to be comfortable with Python.

DSC 140A Probabilistic Modeling & Machine Kearning

Lecture 01 | Part 2

Prediction

Prediction

- Prediction is the most common task in machine learning.
 - ► **Given** some input information...
 - Predict some related output.

Examples

- ► **Given** a data scientist's age, college GPA, and state of residence, **predict** their salary.
- Given a penguin's bill length and body mass, predict its species.
- Given a digital image, predict the gesture being made.

Features and Labels

Each piece of input information is called a feature.

- The output we're trying to predict is called the label (or target).
- Example:
 - Features: age, college GPA, state of residence
 - Label: salary

Regression

- ► When the label is a continuous number, we call it a regression problem.
- Examples: predicting salary

Classification

- When the label is one of a finite number of choices, we call it a classification problem.
- Examples: predicting species, predicting gestures

Binary vs. Multiclass Classification

- In binary classification, there are only two possible labels.
- ► In multiclass classification, there are more than two possible labels.
- For simplicity, we'll focus on binary classification.

Features

Features are most often numerical.

- ► Why?
 - 1. Computers process numbers (not penguins).
 - 2. Allows us to use mathematical machinery.

Feature Vectors

We often package features into a feature vector.

Example:

$$\vec{x} = (bill length, body mass)^T$$

► The dimensionality of a feature vector is the number of features it contains.

Choosing Features

- Features should contain information relevant to predicting the label.
- ▶ There should be a relationship between them.
 - It might be quite complex!

- Choosing good features is crucial.
 - "Garbage in, garbage out."

Learning from Data

- To teach the computer, we provide it with many training examples.
- Each example consists of an input feature vector \vec{x} and the correct output label \vec{y} .
- ► The set of examples is called the **training set**:

$$\mathcal{X} = \{ (\vec{x}^{(1)}, y_1), (\vec{x}^{(2)}, y_2), \dots, (\vec{x}^{(n)}, y_n) \}$$

Learning from Data

- Hope: given enough examples, the computer will detect a pattern between the features and labels.
- This process is called learning.
- The more complex the relationship, the more examples we'll need.

Train Error

- To see how well the computer has learned, we can compute the **train error**.
 - Make predictions on the training set.
 - E.g., for classification, the fraction of training examples misclassified.
- But this is not always a good indicator of how well the computer will do on **new** examples.

Test Error

- Instead, we reserve some examples for a test set.
- Randomly choose, say, 30% of the examples to be in the test set.

training data (70%)	test data (30%)
---------------------	-----------------

Randomizing is important!

Test Error

- Train only on the training set.
- Make predictions on the test set.
- ► The error on the test set is the **test error**.
- ► The test error is a better indicator of how well the computer will do on new examples.

Generalization

- The ability of the model to perform well on new, unseen examples is called generalization.
- In prediction, it's what we're after.
- Training error can be useful, but we care mostly about test error.

Overfitting and Underfitting

- Overfitting: model does not generalize.
 - Train error is much lower than test error.
- Underfitting: model is not learning the pattern.
 - Both train and test error are high.
 - ▶ Need more features, more complex model, etc.

Example: Penguin Prediction




Task: given bill length and body mass, predict species.

²Artwork by @allison_horst


Training Set

- We collect a training set of 344 penguins. For each penguin, we record:
 - ► The features: bill length, body mass
 - ► The label: species
- ▶ Each penguin becomes a feature vector in \mathbb{R}^2 .
 - $\vec{x}^{(i)}$ = (body mass of penguin i, bill length of penguin i)^T
- ightharpoonup We can **embed** penguins as **point cloud** in \mathbb{R}^2 .

Penguin Embedding




Penguin Embedding



Exercise

We see a new penguin with body mass of 5300 g and bill length of 46 mm. What is its species, most likely?



A Simple Intuition

- New penguin's embedding is close to Gentoo penguins ⇒ it is mostly likely also Gentoo.
- Our Assumption: locality. Nearby (similar) feature vectors have similar labels.

DSC 140A Probabilistic Modeling & Machine Kearning

Lecture 01 | Part 3

Nearest Neighbors Predictors

Nearest Neighbors Predictors

- ▶ **Idea:** to predict the label of a new example:
 - 1. find the most similar example in the training set
 - 2. predict the same label
- ► This is called a **nearest neighbor predictor**.
- Useful for both regression and classification.


Nearest Neighbor Classifier

- ▶ **Data:** a training set \mathcal{X} of n feature vectors with labels: $\{(\vec{x}^{(i)}, y_i)\} = \{(\vec{x}^{(1)}, y_1), ..., (\vec{x}^{(n)}, y_n)\}$
- ▶ **Given:** a new point, \vec{z} with unknown label.
- Predict:
 - 1. Find the closest point to \vec{z} in \mathcal{X} :


$$i^* = \underset{i \in \{1, ..., n\}}{\arg \min} \|\vec{x}^{(i)} - \vec{z}\|$$

2. Use y_{i*} as the predicted label.

What is the predicted label for the new point?



What about for this new point?



A Note About Distances

We found the nearest neighbor using the Euclidean distance:

$$\|\vec{p} - \vec{q}\| = \sqrt{(p_1 - q_1)^2 + \dots + (p_d - q_d)^2}$$

$$= \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{d} (p_k - q_k)^2}$$


$$= \sqrt{(\vec{p} - \vec{q}) \cdot (\vec{p} - \vec{q})}$$

Note that this is just one choice – there are other valid distances. E.g., cosine distance.

A Note About Distances

- The Euclidean distance treats all features equally.
- In other words, all features contribute equally to the prediction.

What is the predicted label for the new point?



Answer

- Predicted label: yellow.
- The features are measured on different scales.
- ► The blue points *look* closer, but the yellow point is closer in Euclidean distance.
- Not just a visual illusion; sometimes, features on different scales can cause problems.

Example

- Person A is 6 ft tall, 180 lbs.
- Person B is 7 ft tall, 185 lbs.
- A new person is 7 ft tall, 180 lbs. Intuitively speaking, are they more similar to A or B?

Standardizing Features

- When features are measured on different scales, it can help to standardize.
- ► **Idea:** shift and scale to make each feature have mean 0 and standard deviation 1.

Standardizing Features


- Suppose we have two features, x_1 and x_2 , and let:
 - μ_1, μ_2 be the means of each feature in the training set,
 - $\triangleright \sigma_1, \sigma_2$ be the standard deviations.
- When standardizing:

$$(x_1, x_2)^T$$
 becomes $(z_1, z_2)^T = \left(\frac{x_1 - \mu_1}{\sigma_1}, \frac{x_2 - \mu_2}{\sigma_2}\right)^T$

Do this for all training data, and new test examples.


Example

When plotted in standard units, the data now looks like this:




The Decision Boundary


- We can visualize the prediction for every possible input.
- **Decision boundary:** where the prediction changes.



What will the decision boundary look like for our NN penguin classifier, roughly-speaking?



The Decision Boundary



Suppose there are no duplicates in the training data.

True or False: the nearest neighbor classifier will have 100% training accuracy.


Answer

True.

- If no duplicates, each training example is its own nearest neighbor.
- So for each training example, we predict the correct label.
- Takeaway: training accuracy can be misleading.

Problem

What if the nearest neighbor is an outlier?



k-Nearest Neighbors

- Before: single closest neighbor determined prediction.
- ▶ Idea: have *k* closest neighbors "vote".
- Can be useful to reduce noise.


k-Nearest Neighbors Classifier

- ▶ **Data:** a training set \mathcal{X} of n feature vectors with labels: $\{(\vec{x}^{(i)}, y_i)\} = \{(\vec{x}^{(1)}, y_1), ..., (\vec{x}^{(n)}, y_n)\}$
- ▶ **Given:** a new point, \vec{z} with unknown label, a choice for the parameter k.

Predict:


- 1. Find the k closest points to \vec{z} in \mathcal{X} :
- 2. Use the most common label among those *k* points as the predicted label.

What is the predicted label for the new point using kNN with k = 3?




k and the Decision Boundary

► How might the decision boundary change as we increase *k*?




k and the Decision Boundary

► How might the decision boundary change as we increase *k*?



k and the Decision Boundary

► How might the decision boundary change as we increase *k*?



k and "Complexity"

- k controls the "complexity" of the decision boundary.
- ► The larger *k*, the simpler the boundary.
- Choosing k appropriately controls overfitting/underfitting.




What will the prediction be if we set k = n, where n is the number of training examples?


Nearest Neighbor Regression

► The nearest neighbor rule can be used for **regression**, too.

Motivation



We see a new penguin with body mass of 4000 g. What is a likely flipper length for this penguin?



A Simple Prediction Algorithm

- ▶ Data: a set of penguins (as feature vectors) and their flipper lengths.
- ► **Given:** a new penguin whose flipper length is unknown.

Predict:

- 1. Find the *nearest* penguin whose flipper length is known.
- 2. Use that penguin's flipper length as our prediction.

Nearest Neighbor Regression

- ▶ **Data:** a set \mathcal{X} of n feature vectors with targets: $\{(\vec{x}^{(i)}, y_i)\} = \{(\vec{x}^{(1)}, y_1), ..., (\vec{x}^{(n)}, y_n)\}$
- ▶ **Given:** a new point, \vec{z} with unknown target.
- Predict:
 - 1. Find the closest point to \vec{z} in \mathcal{X} :

$$i^* = \underset{i \in \{1, ..., n\}}{\arg \min} \|\vec{x}^{(i)} - \vec{z}\|$$

2. Use y_{i*} as the predicted target.

kNN Regression


As with classification, can generalize to *k* nearest neighbors.

Natural prediction: the mean of the targets of the k closest neighbors.


k-Nearest Neighbors Regression

- ▶ **Data:** a set \mathcal{X} of n feature vectors with targets: $\{(\vec{x}^{(i)}, y_i)\} = \{(\vec{x}^{(1)}, y_1), \dots, (\vec{x}^{(n)}, y_n)\}$
- ▶ **Given:** a new point, \vec{z} with unknown target.
- Predict:
 - 1. Find the k closest points to \vec{z} in \mathcal{X}
 - 2. Use the average of their labels as the predicted target


Example: *kNN* **Penguin Regression**



Example: *kNN* **Penguin Regression**



Example: *kNN* **Penguin Regression**



DSC 140A Probabilistic Modeling & Machine Kearning

Lecture 01 | Part 4

Gesture Recognition Demo, Revisited

Gesture Recognition

► The gesture recognition demo we saw earlier is a kNN classifier.

Features

- Each video frame is made into a d-dimensional feature vector.
 - 1. Converted to grayscale.
 - 2. Divided into d horizontal strips.
 - 3. Feature *i* is the average brightness of strip *i*.


Example: *d* = 2



Example: *d* = 2



Example: *d* = 2



Observation

- ► The feature vectors for the same gesture are close together.
- ► The data has "organized" itself.

Prediction

► Given a new frame, convert it to a feature vector and find the *k* closest training frames.

Beyond d = 2

- We can use more features.
 - We can still apply kNN in high dimensions.
 - (But we can't visualize the feature vectors with a scatter plot)
- ▶ The original demo used d = 5 features.

d = 5



Takeaway

Even seemingly-intelligent behavior can be achieved with simple algorithms + data.

DSC 140A Probabilistic Modeling & Machine Kearning

Lecture 01 | Part 5

From Theory to Practice

Tip #0: Implementation

- sklearn has a kNN implementation.
- sklearn.neighbors.KNeighborsClassifier for classification.
- But this a theory class; we'll implement it ourselves.

Tip #0: Implementation

- kNN can be implemented in a few lines of code with numpy.
- useful functions:
 - np.linalg.norm: computes distances,
 - np.argmin: finds index of the minimum value
 - np.argpartition: finds indices of k smallest values
 - np.bincount: counts occurrences of each value

import numpy as np

```
def knn_predict(X_train, y_train, x, k=1):
    # compute distances between test and training examples
    distances = np.linalg.norm(X_train - x, axis=1)

# find the indices of the k smallest distances
    nearest = np.argpartition(distances, k, axis=0)[:k]
```

```
# get the labels of the k nearest neighbors
nearest_labels = y_train[nearest]
```

```
# return the most common label
return np.bincount(nearest_labels).argmax()
```

Tip #1: Choosing k

To choose *k*, further divide your data into training, test, and validation sets.

train	test	val.
-------	------	------


Tip #1: Choosing k

- Pick a few different values of k, train model on each, and compute error on the validation set.
- Keep the k that gives the lowest error; this is your choice.
- Compute test error using this k.

Tip #1: Choosing k

- When you're done and ready to use the model "in production":
 - 1. combine all of your data into one large training set,
 - 2. use the k you chose in validation,
 - 3. train the final model.

Tip #2: Standardizing Features



>> knn =	sklearn.neighbors	.KNeighborsClassifier(n	_neighbors=1)

0.672

»> knn.fit(X_train, y_train)
»> knn.score(X test, y test)

```
>>> mu, sigma = X_train.mean(axis=0), X_train.std(axis=0)
>>> Z_train = (X_train - mu) / sigma
```

»> Z_test = (X_test - mu) / sigma
»> knn.fit(Z_train, y_train)
»> knn.score(Z test. v test)

0.972

Trivia: Speeding it Up

- Making a prediction requires computing the distance to every training example.
- There are ways of speeding this up:
 - Approximate nearest neighbors,
 - k-d trees, ball trees, etc.
 - Subsampling the data.

DSC 140A Probabilistic Modeling & Machine Kearning

Lecture 01 | Part 6

The End?

The End?

- ► We have developed a simple prediction algorithm: *k*-nearest neighbors.
- Can used for both classification and regression.
- Often works well!
- Have we "solved" machine learning?

No

- Nearest neighbor predictors have significant limitations in two areas:
- 1. Computational efficiency
 - I.e., they are slow, or require a lot of memory.
- 2. Predictive performance
 - I.e., they aren't always as accurate as other methods.

Something Unsatisfying

- Do nearest neighbor models learn anything?
- ► They seem to just "memorize" the training data.

The Main Problem


Nearest neighbor approaches do not learn which features are useful and which are not.

Example

- Suppose all Adelie penguins weigh less than all Gentoo penguins.
- I.e., we can **predict perfectly** based on body mass alone.


Example: One Noisy Feature

- Suppose we add a feature that is total noise.
- Still enough information to perfectly classify.
- ► 1-NN: 98% test accuracy.




Example: Two Noisy Features

- Suppose we add another feature that is total noise.
- Still enough information to perfectly classify.
- ► 1-NN: 95% test accuracy (-3%).



Example: Noisy Features

- No matter how many noisy features we add, there is enough information to classify perfectly.
- ▶ But 1-NN performance **degrades** with # of (noisy) features:



Explanation

- Euclidean distance treats all features the same.
 - Even those that are pure noise.
- ► NN does not **learn** which features are useful.³

Distance becomes less meaningful as noisy features are added.

³For extensions of kNN which learn a distance metric from data, see: (Weinberger and Saul, 2009; Goldberger et al., 2005; Shalev-Shwartz et al., 2004)

Summary

- kNN prediction is simple and can work well.
- It may be computationally intensive.
- ► It does not:
 - "learn" in the sense of "compressing knowledge".
 - learn which features are useful.

Next time...

A different approach that attempts to learn a "weight" for each feature.